

## **Public Meeting Notice**

Region 9 – Upper Colorado Regional Flood Planning Group

March 4, 2026

10:00 AM CST

Notice is hereby given of a regular meeting of the Region 9 – Upper Colorado Regional Flood Planning Group to be held March 4, 2026, at 10:00 AM at the City Hall Annex– Board Room – 1<sup>st</sup> Floor, 301 W. Beauregard Ave., San Angelo, Texas, for the purpose of considering the following agenda items.

Phone participation is available for public and non-voting representatives by the conference call information:

Call In: (424) 672-7540

Passcode / ID: 453 088 998#

The Meeting Agenda and the Agenda Packet are posted online at: <https://www.uppercoloradoflood.org>

A recording of the meeting will be available to the public in accordance with the Open Meetings Act upon written request.

Members of the public may also submit Public Comment on agenda items by sending their written comments via email to [astrube@crmwd.org](mailto:astrube@crmwd.org) or [scottm@ucratx.org](mailto:scottm@ucratx.org) by noon March 3, 2026. The subject line must be in the following format: "Public Comment, [item number] – March 4, 2026." All emails must include your name and address. Please note all Public Comment emails relevant to posted agenda items received by the deadline will be published as part of the agenda packet prior to the meeting and are therefore public record.

### **Agenda:**

1. Call to Order
2. Welcome
3. Public comments – limit 3 minutes per person
4. Consider nominating and electing RFPG Chair, Vice Chair, Secretary, two members-at-large to serve on the Executive Committee, as applicable, per group bylaws
5. Approval of minutes from the previous meeting
6. Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) Update & Proposition 4 Update
7. Sponsoring agency update from City of San Angelo
8. Technical Consultant presentation on Small and Rural Communities with Limited Resources – FME Prioritization Decision Metrics
9. Discussion and action on confirming the metrics used to identify FMEs for “small and rural communities with limited resources”
10. Discussion and action on ordered list of FMEs to be performed by the TWDB and RFPG
11. Technical consultant update for Second Cycle
12. Public comments – limit 3 minutes per person
13. Consider date and agenda items for next meeting
14. Adjourn

Additional information may be obtained from:

Allison Strube

[astrube@crmwd.org](mailto:astrube@crmwd.org)

400 E. 24<sup>th</sup> Street

Big Spring, Texas 79721

# Proposition 4 Overview

**Upper Colorado Regional Flood Planning Group  
March 4, 2026**

**Agenda Item No. 6**

# Background

- "The constitutional amendment to dedicate a portion of the revenue derived from state sales and use taxes to the Texas Water Fund and to provide for the allocation and use of that revenue"
- Dedicates up to \$1 billion a year for 20 years to the Texas Water Fund
- Approved by Texas voters on November 4, 2025
- Effective September 1, 2027, to August 31, 2047

# Funding Conditions

- Funding is contingent upon two factors:
  - 1) Funding must be appropriated by the Texas Legislature each session
  - 2) If the collected sales tax revenue exceeds \$46.5 billion in a state fiscal year, then \$1 billion of this net revenue will be deposited to the credit of the Texas Water Fund

# Texas Water Fund

- Texas Water Fund (TWF) is a special fund in the State Treasury administered by the TWDB
- Proposition 4 states that transferred funds may be distributed to 11 TWDB financial assistance funds or accounts, including FIF
- The TWDB has not yet developed an allocation plan, but the agency prioritizes stakeholder input when developing implementation plans

# Availability of Funds

- At the earliest, the TWDB may have funds available through its financial assistance programs in state fiscal year 2029



# Resources

All information in this presentation was taken from the following sources:

- [Texas Water Fund Website](https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/twf/index.asp)  
(<https://www.twdb.texas.gov/financial/programs/twf/index.asp>)
- [Texas Water Newsroom Article](https://texaswaternewsroom.org/articles/proposition_4_timeline_looking_ahead_to_2029.html)  
([https://texaswaternewsroom.org/articles/proposition\\_4\\_timeline\\_looking\\_ahead\\_to\\_2029.html](https://texaswaternewsroom.org/articles/proposition_4_timeline_looking_ahead_to_2029.html))



# Upper Colorado Regional Flood Plan

Small and Rural Communities with Limited Resources – FME  
Prioritization Decision Metrics  
Technical Consultant - HDR

Agenda Item No. 8



March 4, 2026

# Prioritization Decision Metrics

---

Recommended list of FMEs to be performed by the TWDB

- For small and rural communities
- FMEs that will produce FMPs
- Each Region = \$3.9M
- March 26<sup>th</sup> Deliverable
  - List of FME to be performed
  - SOW for first two priority FMEs
  - 2 FMEs guaranteed to be completed for Draft 2028 RFP
  - Community confirms using the Community Affirmation Form
  - TWDB will continue to work on FMEs list until \$3.9M is met

# FIF IUP (2024-2025) “Rural Applicant” Definition

---

**Rural Applicant** – An applicant that is:

(A) a nonprofit water supply or sewer service corporation created and operating under Chapter 67 of the Texas Water Code or a district or authority created under Section 52, Article III, or Section 59, Article XVI, Texas Constitution, no part of the service area of which is located in an urban area with a population of more than 50,000;

(B) a municipality:

(i) with a population of 10,000 or less; or

(ii) located wholly in a county in which no urban area has a population of more than 50,000;

(C) a county in which no urban area has a population of more than 50,000; or

(D) an entity that:

(i) is a nonprofit water supply or sewer service corporation created and operating under Chapter 67 of the Texas Water Code, a district or authority created under Section 52, Article III, or Section 59, Article XVI, Texas Constitution, a municipality, county, or other political subdivision of the state, or an interstate compact commission to which the state is a party; and

(ii) demonstrates in a manner satisfactory to the board that the entity is rural or the area to be served by the project is a wholly rural area despite not otherwise qualifying under Paragraph (A), (B), or (C).

# “Rural Applicant” Definition - UCRFPG

---

- **Municipality** with a population of 10,000 or less; OR located wholly in a county in which no urban area has a population of more than 50,000
- **County** in which no urban center has a population of more than 50,000; with the exception of the County being the applicant for a study or project wholly located in an unincorporated community or communities with a population of 10,000 or less per community

# Rural Communities with FMEs

---

- Andrews County
- Borden County
- Cochran County
- Coke County
- Concho County
- Dawson County
- Crockett County
- Gaines County
- Glasscock County
- Hockley County
- Howard County
- Irion County
- Lynn County
- Martin County
- Mitchell County
- Nolan County
- Reagan County
- Runnels County
- Schleicher County
- Sterling County
- Terry County
- Upton County
- City of Andrews
- City of Ballinger
- City of Blackwell
- City of Brownfield
- City of Colorado City
- City of Lamesa
- City of Loraine
- City of O'Donnell
- City of Snyder
- City of Sterling City
- City of Bronte
- City of Robert Lee
- City of Miles
- City of Winters

\*Four additional rural communities were added to the FME list after outreach efforts.

# Weighted and Scoring Criteria for FMEs

Category	Factor scoring - multiplier	Criteria	Additional Considerations
Activities that involve data development, inventory of structures for modeling, save lives and define risk	10 - 9	Mapping, data dev/inventory of structures for modeling. Immediate protection of lives and property.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mapping study will not result in an FMP</li> <li>• Some FMEs may be FMSs</li> <li>• FMEs may be combined for project efficiency</li> <li>• Note if full BLE coverage is available</li> </ul>
Activities that enable smart planning, asset management, and infrastructure upgrades with modeling completed	8 - 7	Data driven foundation, optional use of datasets, GIS inventory of infrastructure and projects already evaluated/studied, DMPs	
Infrastructure upgrades that reduce impacts, but depend on planning/asset inputs	6 - 5	System-wide planning before fixes. Infrastructure improvements requested with no evaluation or modelling.	
Buy-outs	4	Effective, but are disruptive, expensive and may have political push-back	

# Weighted and Scoring Criteria for FMEs


Characteristics	Definitions	Scores	Additional Considerations
Feasibility	Political, technical, funding cost match, regulatory ease of implementation. Scored by implementation expectation	Low = 1 Unknown = 2 High = 3	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All Characteristics are scored and then multiplied by the Category score, resulting in a Preliminary Score.</li> <li>Preliminary scores were added to the FEMA Community Resilience Challenge Index (CRCI) data score <a href="#">County Overview   Resilience Analysis and Planning Tool (RAPT) – Production</a></li> <li>When the FME is for a community, the County CRCI Score was used.</li> <li>If a community had two FMEs that were being combined, the resultant Preliminary + CRCI scores were added together and divided by two.</li> <li>Community outreach was conducted with all communities identified as Small/Rural communities by our agreed definition.</li> <li>Additional scores were added to those that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Participated in the outreach engagement (25 pts)</li> <li>Identified their FME as an emergency need to protect lives and property (25 pts)</li> <li>Identified flooding areas in need of evaluation and solutions (25 pts)</li> </ul> </li> <li>All projects were ranked based on their final score.</li> <li>Three projects recommended to be moved to FMS.</li> </ol>
Cost	Relative affordability	Under \$100K = 5 \$101K - \$600K = 3 Over \$600K = 1	
NFIP Participation	Included in Reg. or Emerg Program	No = 1 Yes = 5	
Community Impact	How much safety benefit or risk reduction it provides community	Low = 1 Unknown = 3 High = 5	
Timeline	How quickly benefits can be realized.	Long-term project = 1 Mid-term project = 3 Short-term project = 5	
Map Format	Current map format available	Digital maps = 1 Paper maps only = 3 No maps = 3	
Flood Zone Mileage	On current effective maps N/A is used if this is a non-mapping FME	All Zone A = 3 Zone A, AE = 2 Zone A, AE, AEw/FW = 1 N/A = 1	

# FEMA Community Resilience Challenge Index (CRCI) Data

## County Overview | Resilience Analysis and Planning Tool (RAPT) – Production

- Population characteristics
- Economic conditions
- Housing and infrastructure
- Health and social vulnerability
- Access and mobility
- Community capacity

Designed to help Planners, Emergency managers and grant applicants (BRIC, HMGP, etc.) understand economic and infrastructure vulnerabilities.



Composite data-driven index that measures how challenged a community is in terms of resilience. It aggregates 22 indicators commonly used across 14 peer-reviewed resilience methodologies to show where counties and census tracts face the greatest barriers to resilience.

# Example results of FEMA’s Community Resilience Challenge Index (CRCI) Data

<b>Runnels County CRCI Indicators:</b>	<b>43/100</b>
Percent without HS Diploma	13.79%
Percent Age 65 and Older	20.92%
Percent with a Disability	16.97%
Percent Households without a Vehicle	3.26%
Percent Households with Limited English	2.55%
Percent Single-Parent Households	24.41%
Percent Households without a Smartphone	13.66%
Percent Mobile Homes Relative to Housing	6.21%
Percent Owner-Occupied Housing	56.87%
Number of Hospitals per 10,000 People	0
Number of Medical Practitioners per 1,000 People	17.21
Percent without Health Insurance	15.01%
Percent Below Poverty Level	10.41%
Median Household Income	\$63,490
Percent Unemployed Labor Force	4.25%
Percent Unemployed Women in Labor Force	6.27%
Percent Workforce Employed in Predominant Sector	25.41%
Income Inequality (Gini Index)	0.4146
Social/Civic Organizations per 10,000 People	0
Percent without Religious Affiliation	14.55%
Percent Inactive Voters	4.36%
Population Change	0.3134

<b>Gaines County CRCI Indicators:</b>	<b>85/100</b>
Percent without HS Diploma	41.73%
Percent Age 65 and Older	9.41%
Percent with a Disability	13.18%
Percent Households without a Vehicle	4.66%
Percent Households with Limited English	15.05%
Percent Single-Parent Households	11.11%
Percent Households without a Smartphone	11.25%
Percent Mobile Homes Relative to Housing	21.08%
Percent Owner-Occupied Housing	70.58%
Number of Hospitals per 10,000 People	0
Number of Medical Practitioners per 1,000 People	3.2
Percent without Health Insurance	32.44%
Percent Below Poverty Level	7.37%
Median Household Income	\$76,605
Percent Unemployed Labor Force	2.17%
Percent Unemployed Women in Labor Force	5.14%
Percent Workforce Employed in Predominant Sector	23.10%
Income Inequality (Gini Index)	0.4171
Social/Civic Organizations per 10,000 People	0
Percent without Religious Affiliation	54.68%
Percent Inactive Voters	12.99%
Population Change	0.2295

<b>Coke County CRCI Indicators:</b>	<b>70/100</b>
Percent without HS Diploma	12.20%
Percent Age 65 and Older	27.54%
Percent with a Disability	18.45%
Percent Households without a Vehicle	3.02%
Percent Households with Limited English	0.81%
Percent Single-Parent Households	18.54%
Percent Households without a Smartphone	17.86%
Percent Mobile Homes Relative to Housing	19.80%
Percent Owner-Occupied Housing	48.95%
Number of Hospitals per 10,000 People	0
Number of Medical Practitioners per 1,000 People	8.14
Percent without Health Insurance	12.55%
Percent Below Poverty Level	14.14%
Median Household Income	\$46,431
Percent Unemployed Labor Force	5.98%
Percent Unemployed Women in Labor Force	1.69%
Percent Workforce Employed in Predominant Sector	26.39%
Income Inequality (Gini Index)	0.4459
Social/Civic Organizations per 10,000 People	0
Percent without Religious Affiliation	29.83%
Percent Inactive Voters	0.44%
Population Change	1.259

<b>Crockett County CRCI Indicators:</b>	<b>38/100</b>
Percent without HS Diploma	34.22%
Percent Age 65 and Older	21.59%
Percent with a Disability	14.90%
Percent Households without a Vehicle	0.00%
Percent Households with Limited English	0.00%
Percent Single-Parent Households	9.15%
Percent Households without a Smartphone	9.44%
Percent Mobile Homes Relative to Housing	8.91%
Percent Owner-Occupied Housing	50.55%
Number of Hospitals per 10,000 People	0
Number of Medical Practitioners per 1,000 People	0
Percent without Health Insurance	13.42%
Percent Below Poverty Level	3.26%
Median Household Income	\$81,739
Percent Unemployed Labor Force	2.78%
Percent Unemployed Women in Labor Force	0.00%
Percent Workforce Employed in Predominant Sector	28.35%
Income Inequality (Gini Index)	0.2888
Social/Civic Organizations per 10,000 People	0
Percent without Religious Affiliation	52.19%
Percent Inactive Voters	7.39%
Population Change	1.2851

# More BLE on the Estimated BFE Viewer in Region 9

**FEMA**

Report Legend Map Layers

FEMA Region 6

Comments: FEMA Region 6 includes Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Texas. [Visit Region 6 website](#)

Click here to select a different area.

Status & Data Download

- Base Level Engineering (BLE) study area with data available for download
- Base Level Engineering (BLE) study area with data preparation in progress

Click status areas to view information. Downloadable data are available for green areas. Use the bottom left search box to find a place or 8-digit subbasin.

Counties

Counties

Reverts Base Map

Quick Start Change Area

Glossary About

Notifications  Hover tips  Dark mode

Accessibility Accountability FOIA No FEAR Act Privacy Contact Us

Find a place or 8-digit subbasin

Scale 2,311,162

100 km

50 mi

**Discussion and Action on  
Confirming the metrics used for  
Small and Rural Communities  
with Limited Resources**

Agenda Item No. 9



# Upper Colorado Regional Flood Plan

Discussion and action on the ordered list of FMEs to be performed by the TWDB and RFPG

Agenda Item No. 10



SUSAN ROTH  
water and wastewater consulting



March 4, 2026

# Rural FME Project Recommendations

---

- The results of FMEs are for planning purposes with the intention of generating FMPs or FMSs that provide at least one solution to mitigate flood events associated with a 1% annual chance flood event and that support specific RFPG flood mitigation and/or floodplain management goals.
- Mapping projects were ranked separately using CRCI data for the prioritization of mapping updates. Mapping update projects were not recommended. These were not included in this FME Prioritization Ranking.
  - Communities may adopt Base Level Engineering data using the newest State Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance models once they are available.
  - The results of FMEs performed could feasibly be used for regulatory purposes if the results are used to apply for a Letter of Map Change (LOMC).
- Three projects are recommended to be moved to the FMS list - flood warning systems and property buyouts.
- Recommend that the FME projects be approved in the ranking order they achieved based on the decision metrics.
- One county ranked higher than some that are being recommended at this time, but during our community engagement, the county indicated the communities within their county were a higher priority than the county FME to be recommended for this effort. This will move them from #4 to #7 on the list if approved.
- Cost for the Rural FMEs will be refined through detailed scoping.

# Additional FMEs Identified in Outreach Efforts

During outreach with our Small and Rural Communities, six new FMEs were identified for inclusion:

FME ID	FME Name	FME Description	Community Name	County
09-51-0000000153	City of Ballinger Commercial Drainage	Drainage under commercial area of town is collapsing.	City of Ballinger	Runnels
09-51-0000000154	City of Bronte – Washington St.	Washington and Riley – area west of Washington St. from the RR to the Hwy.	City of Bronte	Coke
09-51-0000000155	City of Bronte – Kickapoo West	Water comes in from under FM158 and heads to subdivision from the west.	City of Bronte	Coke
09-51-0000000156	City of Robert Lee – Riverside	2 <sup>nd</sup> St. to Riverside Dr. Residential area. Houses being built. No access when it floods.	City of Robert Lee	Coke
09-51-0000000157	City of Miles	Rainwater from fields goes through the city. Water comes from the north. Floods a lift station.	City of Miles	Runnels
09-51-0000000158	City of Winters – Drainage issues	Evaluation of drainage issue and solution for flooding.	City of Winters	Runnels

# Small and Rural FME Prioritization List

Notes	FME ID	FME Name	Ranking Score	Priority	Sponsor	FME sq mi or BLE mapped miles	CRCI Score
Combined DMP & GIS Dev. Priority location is intersection of N. 1st St and US 87. Concrete storm drain has collapsed at the welding supply store. Drain and outfall need strengthening. Focus DMP project here.	09-51-0000000150 09-51-0000000009	City of Lamesa GIS Development + DMP (Dawson)	338	1	Lamesa	5.1	88
Combined DMP & GIS Dev. Priority location at Orient Heights neighborhood near Eldorado. Flooding occurs from Ave A and Ave D to area between Lea St and Depot St.	09-51-0000000086 09-51-0000000088	Schleicher County DMP + GIS Dev. Project	338	2	Schleicher	493	58
Create DMP including evaluation of potential MIT Projects. Priority areas are Gillam Park and Paul Franko Park. Concerned about location of new H.S.	09-51-0000000007	City of Brownfield DMP (Terry)	335	3	Brownfield	6.540345	84
All Projects in Coke were identified in incorp. Areas. County requests the DMP and GIS Infrastructure Project.	09-51-0000000019 09-51-0000000021	Coke County DMP + GIS Dev. Project	325	4	Coke	751.6	70
County identified areas of flooding along: CR118, CR344, Wilmeth Culvert, CR287, CR184 abd CR185, and CR184. Would like to focus projects from DMP and GIS dev in these areas	09-51-0000000069 09-51-0000000071	Runnels County DMP + GIS Dev. Project	323	5	Runnels	880.7	43

# Small and Rural FME Prioritization List

Notes	FME ID	FME Name	Ranking Score	Priority	Sponsor	FME sq mi or BLE mapped miles	CRCI Score
Priority areas are Washington St. and Riley - floods a residential area. And Kickapoo st. west - water from FM158 floods residential area.	09-51-0000000154 09-51-0000000155	City of Bronte Infrastructure Asset Inventory and flooding solutions (Coke)	321	6	Bronte	1.4	70
Create Inventory of Infrastructure assets and develop solutions for areas of flooding. Priority area is 2nd st. to Riverside. Residential area, Houses being built. No access when it floods.	09-51-0000000156	City of Robert Lee Infrastructure Asset Inventory and flooding solutions (Coke)	321	7	Robert Lee	1.1	70
Combined DMP and GIS Dev. Priority area is in city where drainage under a commercial area is collapsing. It is blocked and backs up at the inlet.	09-51-0000000108 09-51-0000000153	City of Ballinger - DMP + GIS Dev and flooding solutions (Runnels)	302	8	Ballinger	3.398572	43
Priority focus is on water that comes from fields north and east of subdivisions and floods a list station for the neighborhood. Flooding occurs between Robinson and Boykin St. and Boykin St and 9th St. Raley St to the southeast washed out.	09-51-0000000157	City of Miles DMP, GIS Inventory of assets and solutions for areas of flooding (Runnels)	294	9	Miles	1.4	43
Combined DMP and GIS Dev. FMEs	09-51-0000000062 09-51-0000000064	Nolan County DMP + GIS Dev project	291	10	Nolan	689.5	86
Combined DMP and GIS Dev. FMEs	09-51-0000000036 09-51-0000000037	Gaines County DMP + GIS Dev. Project	290	11	Gaines	262.7	85

# Small and Rural FME Prioritization List

Notes	FME ID	FME Name	Ranking Score	Priority	Sponsor	FME sq mi or BLE mapped miles	CRCI Score
Combined DMP and GIS Dev. FMEs	09-51-0000000102 09-51-0000000104	Terry County DMP + GIS Dev. Project	289	12	Terry	1045.8	84
Combined GIS Development and Development DMP. FMEs	09-51-0000000060 09-51-0000000061	Mitchell County GIS Development + DMP project	276	13	Mitchell	860	71
Combined DMP & GIS Dev FMEs	09-51-0000000042 09-51-0000000044	Hockley County DMP + GIS Dev Project	275	14	Hockley	1546.8	70
Combined DMP and GIS Dev. FMEs	09-51-0000000022 09-51-0000000024	Concho County DMP + GIS Dev. Project	274	15	Concho	645.5	69
Create DMP including evaluation of potential MIT Projects.	09-51-0000000018	Cochran County DMP	270	16	Cochran	324.00	94
Combined DMP and GIS Dev. FMEs	09-51-0000000111 09-51-0000000113	Upton County DMP + GIS Dev. Project	261	17	Upton	205	56
Combined Andrews Southwest Playa Project and Northwest Andrews Playa FMEs - This may come in as an FMP	09-51-0000000138 09-51-0000000139	City of Andrews Southwest_Andrews_Playa (Includes project Northwest Andrews Playa)	248	18	City of Andrews	0.78	56
Create Drainage Master Plan, including evaluation of potential mitigation projects.	09-51-0000000008	City of Colorado City DMP	247	19	Colorado City	5.31	71
Combined DMP and GIS Dev. FMEs	09-51-0000000053 09-51-0000000055	Martin County DMP + GIS Dev. Project	247	20	Martin	143	42

# Small and Rural FME Prioritization List

Notes	FME ID	FME Name	Ranking Score	Priority	Sponsor	FME sq mi or BLE mapped miles	CRCI Score
Combined DMP and GIS Dev. FMEs	09-51-0000000048 09-51-0000000050	Irion County DMP + GIS Dev. Project	246	21	Irion	561	41
Combined DMP and GIS Dev. FMEs	09-51-0000000066 09-51-0000000068	Reagan County DMP + GIS Dev. Project	245	22	Reagan	333.2	40
Combined DMP and GIS Dev. FMEs	09-51-0000000039 09-51-0000000041	Glasscock County DMP + GIS Dev. Project	245	23	Glasscock	305.3	40
Combined DMP and GIS Dev. FMEs	09-51-0000000025 09-51-0000000027	Crockett County DMP + GIS Dev. Project	243	24	Crockett	1778	38
Combined DMP and GIS Dev. FMEs	09-51-0000000045 09-51-0000000046	Howard County DMP + GIS Dev. Project	234	25	Howard	996.00	55
Combined DMP and GIS Dev. FMEs	09-51-0000000093 09-51-0000000095	Sterling County DMP + GIS Dev. Project	226	26	Sterling	470	21
Create DMP including Capacity analysis and solutions for flooding	09-51-0000000158	City of Winters - DMP + Capacity analysis	213	27	City of Winters	2.80	43
Create Drainage Master Plan, including evaluation of potential mitigation projects.	09-51-0000000015	City of Snyder DMP	173	28	City of Snyder	8.32	33
Proposed project planning for upgrading undersized stormwater drains and culverts	09-51-0000000006	City of Blackwell - Storm Drain and Culvert Improvements	170	29	City of Blackwell	0.59	86

# Small and Rural FME Prioritization List

Notes	FME ID	FME Name	Ranking Score	Priority	Sponsor	FME sq mi or BLE mapped miles	CRCI Score
Create Drainage Master Plan, including evaluation of potential mitigation projects.	09-51-0000000014	City of O'Donnell DMP	158	30	City of O'Donnell	0.86	61
Identify scope of detention project on Kindred Street. Offsite detention and property buyout required in order to handle runoff from culvert project identification on Kinder Street. Implement the most cost-effective solution to reduce or eliminate flooding.	09-51-0000000010	City of Loraine Kindred St Detention Project	151	31	City of Loraine	0.01	71
Create DMP to include evaluation of flood mitigation projects.	09-51-0000000016	City of Sterling City DMP	153	32	City of Sterling City	0.99	21
Combine DMP and GIS Dev FMEs	09-51-0000000029 09-51-0000000028	Dawson County DMP + GIS Dev. Project	144	33	Dawson	898.81	88
Combine Andrew County DMP and GIS Dev. Project	09-51-0000000001 09-51-0000000003	Andrews County DMP + GIS Dev Project	133	34	Andrews	1495.2	56
Create Drainage Master Plan, including evaluation of potential mitigation projects.	09-51-0000000051	Lynn County DMP	131	35	Lynn	890.17	61

# **Discussion and action on the ordered list of FMEs to be performed by the TWDB and RFPG**

Agenda Item No. 10



# Upper Colorado Regional Flood Plan

## Technical Consultant Update for Second Cycle

Agenda Item No. 11



SUSAN ROTH  
water and wastewater consulting



March 4, 2026

